

STATE OF WISCONSIN Division of Hearings and Appeals

In the Matter of

DECISION

FTI/172114

PRELIMINARY RECITALS

Pursuant to a petition filed February 16, 2016, under Wis. Stat. § 49.85(4), and Wis. Admin. Code §§ HA 3.03(1), (3), to review a decision by the Racine County Department of Human Services in regard to FoodShare benefits (FS), a hearing was held on March 15, 2016, at Racine, Wisconsin.

The issue for determination is whether the agency correctly implemented a tax intercept.

There appeared at that time and place the following persons:

PARTIES IN INTEREST: Petitioner:

Respondent:

Department of Health Services 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651 Madison, Wisconsin 53703

By:

Racine County Department of Human Services 1717 Taylor Ave Racine, WI 53403-2497

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Corinne Balter Division of Hearings and Appeals

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The petitioner (CARES # is a resident of Racine County.
- 2. On September 3, 2015 the agency sent the petitioner a notice stating that she was overpaid \$2,880 in FoodShare (FS) benefits, under claim number for the period from April 1, 2015 to August 31, 2015.
- 3. On October 2, 2015 the agency sent the petitioner a FS Repayment Agreement.

- 4. On November 3, 2015, December 2, 2015, and January 5, 2016 the agency sent the petitioner dunning notices. These dunning notices reminded the petitioner that she still owed the FS overpayment.
- 5. The petitioner did not make any payments to the agency.
- 6. On February 12, 2016 the agency sent the petitioner a notice of tax intercept stating that it may intercept any tax refund to which she might be entitled to satisfy the \$2,880 balance of the overpayment of FS benefits.
- 7. All notices were sent to the petitioner's current address. The petitioner received all notices including the overpayment notice, repayment agreement, dunning notices, and notice of tax intercept.
- 8. On February 18, 2016 the Division of Hearings and Appeals received the petitioner's Request for Fair Hearing.

DISCUSSION

The State is required to recover all FoodShare overpayments. An overpayment occurs when a FoodShare household receives more FoodShare than it is entitled to receive. 7 C.F.R. §273.18(a). The Federal FoodShare regulations provide that the agency shall establish a claim against a FoodShare household that was overpaid, even if the overpayment was caused by agency error. 7 C.F.R. §273.18(a)(2).

A Notification of FoodShare Overissuance, a FoodShare Overissuance Worksheet and a repayment agreement must be issued to the household/recipient. *FoodShare Wisconsin Handbook*, §7.3.1.8. If the recipient does not make a payment or misses a payment, a dunning notice must be issued. *Id*.

The State of Wisconsin Public Assistance Collections Unit uses tax intercept from both state and federal tax refunds to recover overpayments from anyone who has become delinquent in repayment of an overissuance.

To use tax intercept, the person must have received The State is required to recover all FoodShare overpayments. An overpayment occurs when a FoodShare household receives more FoodShare than it is entitled to receive. 7 C.F.R. §273.18(a). The Federal FoodShare regulations provide that the agency shall establish a claim against a FoodShare household that was overpaid, even if the overpayment was caused by agency error. 7 C.F.R. §273.18(a)(2).

A Notification of FoodShare Overissuance, a FoodShare Overissuance Worksheet and a repayment agreement must be issued to the household/recipient. *FoodShare Wisconsin Handbook*, §7.3.1.8. If the recipient does not make a payment or misses a payment, a dunning notice must be issued. *Id*.

The State of Wisconsin Public Assistance Collections Unit uses tax intercept from both state and federal tax refunds to recover overpayments from anyone who has become delinquent in repayment of an overissuance.

To use tax intercept, the person must have received three or more dunning notices and the debt must be:

- 1. Valid and legally enforceable.
- 2. State: All error types Federal: All error types.
- 3. State: At least \$20; Federal: At least \$25.

FTI/172114

- 4. State: At least 30 days from notification of Overissuance; Federal: Not more than 10 years past due from notification date except in fraud cases. There is no delinquency period for fraud.
- 5. Free from any current appeals.
- 6. Incurred by someone who has not filed bankruptcy, nor has their spouse.

FoodShare Wisconsin Handbook §7.3.2.10 Tax Intercept

Wis. Stat., §49.85, provides that the department shall, at least annually, certify to the Department of Revenue the amounts that it has determined that it may recover resulting from overpayment of general relief benefits, overissuance of FS, overpayment of AFDC and Medical Assistance payments made incorrectly.

The Department of Health Services must notify the person that it intends to certify the overpayment to the Department of Revenue for setoff from his/her state income tax refund and must inform the person that he/she may appeal the decision by requesting a hearing. <u>Id.</u> at §49.85(3).

The hearing right is described in Wis. Stat., §49.85(4)(b), as follows:

If a person has requested a hearing under this subsection, the department ... shall hold a contested case hearing under s. 227.44, except that the department ... may limit the scope of the hearing to exclude issues that were presented at a prior hearing or that could have been presented at a prior opportunity for hearing.

Emphasis added

A party has 30-days from the date of the letter/notice of tax intercept to file an appeal. Wis. Stat., §49.85(3)(a)2; FSH §7.3.2.11 In this case, the date of the notice was May 16, 2014, and division of hearings and appeals received the petitioner's request for fair hearing on May 21, 2014. This is within the 30 day window, and the petitioner's appeal is timely with regard to the tax intercept issue.

At a hearing concerning the use of a tax intercept to collect a FoodShare Overissuance, appeal of the determination of FoodShare overissuance is not allowed, pursuant to Wis. Stat., §49.85(4)(b), because the petitioner had a prior right to appeal the determination. The hearing is specifically limited to the tax intercept and whether or not the State agency correctly used the tax intercept. *Id*.

The petitioner sought to challenge the underlying overpayment. She states that they always provided the agency with any information that the agency sought. She testified that she never falsified any information. I note that even if the petitioner had provided the agency the necessary information, and the overpayment was the result of agency error, the agency would have been within the time limit in which to collect the overpayment. The agency has also presented paystubs showing the income that they used in calculating the overpayment. My review of the overpayment is that it appears to be correct. The real problem though is that I have no jurisdiction to address the underlying overpayment. The petitioner had until December 2, 2015 to request a fair hearing on that case. She never requested a fair hearing on the overpayment.

The only issue in this appeal is whether the agency correctly implemented a tax intercept. In order for the agency to correctly implement a tax intercept the petitioner must have received three or more dunning notices and the debt must be, valid and legally enforceable, at least \$20 for intercept of State taxes, and at least \$25 for intercept of federal taxes, at least 30 days from notification of Overissuance, and for a Federal tax intercept not more than 10 years past due from notification date except in fraud cases, and the debt must be free from any current appeals, and incurred by someone who has not filed bankruptcy, nor

has their spouse. In this case there were three dunning notices, and none of the other limitations apply. Thus, the agency has properly implemented a tax intercept.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The agency correctly implemented a tax intercept

THEREFORE, it is

ORDERED

That the petition is dismissed.

REQUEST FOR A REHEARING

You may request a rehearing if you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law or if you have found new evidence that would change the decision. Your request must be **received** within 20 days after the date of this decision. Late requests cannot be granted.

Send your request for rehearing in writing to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201, Madison, WI 53705-5400 **and** to those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST." Your rehearing request must explain what mistake the Administrative Law Judge made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and explain why you did not have it at your first hearing. If your request does not explain these things, it will be denied.

The process for requesting a rehearing may be found at Wis. Stat. § 227.49. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

APPEAL TO COURT

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be filed with the Court **and** served either personally or by certified mail on the Secretary of the Department of Health Services, 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, **and** on those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST" **no more than 30 days after the date of this decision** or 30 days after a denial of a timely rehearing (if you request one).

The process for Circuit Court Appeals may be found at Wis. Stat. §§ 227.52 and 227.53. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

Given under my hand at the City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 29th day of March, 2016

\sCorinne Balter
Administrative Law Judge
Division of Hearings and Appeals

4



State of Wisconsin\DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

Brian Hayes, Administrator Suite 201 5005 University Avenue Madison, WI 53705-5400 Telephone: (608) 266-3096 FAX: (608) 264-9885 email: DHAmail@wisconsin.gov Internet: http://dha.state.wi.us

The preceding decision was sent to the following parties on March 29, 2016.

Racine County Department of Human Services Public Assistance Collection Unit